

UNITED STATES EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/063,335 04/20/98 NORTHCUTT J 830001013/P. **EXAMINER** 022804 WM51/1026 HECKER & HARRIMAN VU, V 1925 CENTURY PARK EAST ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER SUITE 2300 LOS ANGELES CA 90067 2154 DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

10/26/00

Office Action St	ummary
------------------	--------

Applicant(s) North cutt et al Application No.

—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address— **Period for Reply** A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ____MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication . - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). **Status** Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10-11-00 This action is FINAL. ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213. **Disposition of Claims X**Claim(s) ___/_48 ______is/are pending in the application. Of the above claim(s) ___ is/are withdrawn from consideration. ☐ Claim(s). __ is/are allowed. is/are rejected. Claim(s)_ is/are objected to. ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction or election ☐ Claim(s)requirement. **Application Papers** ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on _______ is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved. ☐ The drawing(s) filed on______ is/are objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been ☐ received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)_ ☐ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)). *Certified copies not received:_ Attachment(s) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413 ☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 ☐ Other

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Office Action Summary

Serial No. 09/063,335

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action responds to applicant's amendment filed on 10/11/2000.

Art Rejections:

- 2. The rejection of claims 1-2, 5-13, 16-24, 27-31, 33, 35-38 and 42-48 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Diener, U.S. pat. No. 5,784,562, paper #12, mailed 7/6/2000, is hereby incorporated by reference.
- 3. The rejection of claims 3-4, 14-15, 25-26, 32, 34 and 39-41 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Diener</u>, and <u>White</u>, U.S. pat. No. 5,983,273, paper #12, mailed 7/6/2000, is hereby incorporated by reference.

Response to Amendment:

4. Applicant's arguments filed on 10/11/2000 with respect to claims 1-48 are not deemed persuasive.

Applicant alleges that <u>Diener</u> does not teach or suggest a stateless human interface device.

This is not found persuasive. <u>Diener</u> discloses a conventional web browser using http protocol which is widely recognized in the

art as a stateless human interface device (see col 5, lines 17-30). require persistent Particularly, the browser does not communications between users and severs at all times which would meet applicant's definition of a stateless machine. For instance, a conventional web browser allows a user to temporarily disconnect communication with the web server and the interface and then reconnect communication with the web server and the interface at As such, the examiner is unable to find any later time. distinction between the claimed stateless device and Diener's web browser. Applicant fails to point out specific claim limitation that is missing in the web browser or any claim function that the web browser cannot perform.

Applicant also alleges that <u>Diener</u> does not teach or suggest maintaining an active session associated with a user when the user is disconnected from the interface device.

This is not found persuasive. <u>Diener</u> clearly discloses maintaining an active session between the user and the web server during the discontinuity of the physical communication channel between the user and the server which would meet the claim limitation. A session is defined in <u>Diener</u> as a session between user and a data source, i.e., web server. It is not a session between user and the interface per se. The web browser is merely to provide an user interface to the web server. Thus, a break of

Serial No. 09/063,335

physical connection between the user and the server in a particular situation would be reasonably considered as a break of communication between the user and interface. When the network connection is interrupted, it cannot be said that the user still maintains a communication with a technically non-functional terminal.

Applicant further alleges that <u>Diener</u> fails to teach maintaining an active session at the data source when there is no longer a dialog between the interface and the data source.

The examiner is unable to find the alleged limitation in the present claims.

Applicant also alleges that there is no discussion of prior art teachings corresponding to certain claim limitations including identifier used by a user for a session and a service provider performing computations.

This is not found persuasive. <u>Diener</u> teaches using client ID and session ID to associate client with an active session (<u>see steps 304, 308, fig. 3</u>). Furthermore, in <u>Diener</u>, the web server performs computations for client-initiated transactions.

Serial No. 09/063,335

Conclusion:

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Viet Vu whose telephone number is (703) 305-9597. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:00am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad Matar, can be reached on (703) 305-4731.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9600.

VIET D. VU PRIMARY EXAMINER

Art Unit 2758 10/24/00